



Via Express Mail Label EV 734547355 US
Date of Mailing: August 11, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicants:

MICHAEL VOTICKY
JOE CONNER

Filed: April 13, 2000

Serial No.: 09/548,201

For: COMMUNICATIONS PRIORITIZER

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Confirmation No. 6646

Art Unit: 2645

Examiner: OVIDIO ESCALANTE

Docket No: 044829.0048

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED 03/11/2005

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2005, Applicants are filing this Response and respectfully request consideration of the following remarks. Applicants are also filing a Request for a Two-month Extension of Time to extend the deadline for filing to August 11, 2005. The pending claims are included in Attachment A, beginning at page i.

REMARKS

Claims 98-118 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-20 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,351,764 and over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 09/683,315 in view of Scannell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,377,354; hereinafter referred to as "Scannell").

Claims 98, 99, 106-108, 113 and 114 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Scannell. Claims 100, 109 and 115 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Scannell in view of Larson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,408,068; hereinafter referred to as "Larson"). Claims 101, 104, 110 and 116 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Scannell in view of Rochkind (European Patent No. 0825752 A2). Claims 102, 111 and 117 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C.